arXiv:0802.1036v2 [math.QA] 8 Oct 2008

CONSTRUCTING DYNAMICAL TWISTS OVER A NON-ABELIAN BASE

JUAN MARTÍN MOMBELLI

Abstract. We give examples of dynamical twists in finite-dimensional Hopf algebras over an arbitrary Hopf subalgebra. The construction is based on the categorical approach of dynamical twists introduced by Donin and Mudrov [DM1].

Introduction

The theory of *dynamical quantum groups* initiated by G. Felder [F1] is nowadays an active branch of mathematics. This theory arose from the notion of *dynamical Yang-Baxter equation*, also known as the *Gervais-Neveu-Felder equation* in connection with integrable models of conformal field theories and Liouville theory, see for example [F2], [GN], [ABB]. For a detailed review and bibliography on the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation the reader is referred to [E], [ES], [Fh].

In [B], [BBB], the notion of Drinfeld's twist for Hopf algebras was generalized in the dynamical setting. A *dynamical twist* for a Hopf algebra H over A, where A is an abelian subgroup of the group of grouplike elements in H, is a function $J(\lambda) : \widehat{A} \to (H \otimes H)^{\times}$ satisfying certain non-linear equations. When the group A is trivial we recover the notion of Drinfeld's twist. When H is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra with R-matrix R then $\mathcal{R}(\lambda) = J^{-1}(\lambda)^{21} R J(\lambda)$ satisfies the dynamical quantum Yang-Baxter equation. See [EN1].

In the finite-dimensional case, dynamical twists were studied first in [EN1] and later in [M]. In the first paper dynamical twists over an abelian group for the group algebra of a finite group are classified. Following closely this work, in [M], dynamical twists over an abelian group for any finite-dimensional Hopf algebra are described.

In this work we extend the results of [M] in the case where A is an arbitrary Hopf subalgebra of H. To this end we rely on the definition and categorical construction of dynamical twists introduced in [DM1] from dynamical adjoint functors. Here, the Hopf subalgebra A plays the same role

---

Date: October 8, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16W30, 18D10, 19D23

keywords: Dynamical Yang-Baxter equation; dynamical twists; Hopf algebras.

as the Levi subalgebra of a reductive Lie algebra in [DM1]. As in [M], the language of *module categories* has been used with profit.

The contents of the paper are organized as follows: in Section 1 we recall the notion of stabilizers for Hopf algebra actions introduced by Yan and Zhu [YZ], and the definition of module categories over a tensor category.

In Section 2 we give a brief account of the results and definitions appeared in [DM1]. We explain the definition of dynamical extension of a tensor category, dynamical twist and dynamical adjoint functors. We also recall the construction of a dynamical twist coming from a pair of dynamical functors.

Section 3 is devoted to the construction of dynamical twists for a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H over a Hopf subalgebra A. Following [EN1] we shall say that a *dynamical datum* for (H, A) is a pair (K, T), where

- K is a H-simple left H-comodule algebra with $K^{\mathrm{co}\,H} = \Bbbk$,
- and $T : \mathrm{Rep}(A) \to {}_K\mathcal{M}$ is a functor such that

for any $V, W \in \mathrm{Rep}(A)$ there are natural isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Stab}_K(T(V), T(W)) \simeq \left(\mathrm{Ind}_A^H\,(V \otimes_{\Bbbk} W^*)\right)^*.$$

For any dynamical datum (K, T) we shall show that the pair (T, R), where $R : \mathrm{Rep}(H) \to \mathrm{Rep}(A)$ is the restriction functor, is a pair of dynamical adjoint functors. Therefore, applying the tools explained in Section 2, we obtain a dynamical twist over the base A. This construction generalize the procedure appeared in [M] when A is a commutative cocommutative Hopf subalgebra. Also, we shall prove that any dynamical twist based in A for H comes from a dynamical datum. Finally we show some explicit examples of dynamical data.

1. Preliminaries and notation

Throughout this paper $\Bbbk$ will denote an arbitrary field. All categories and functors are assumed to be $\Bbbk$-linear. All vector spaces and algebras are assume to be over $\Bbbk$. If K is an algebra, we shall denote by ${}_K\mathcal{M}$ the category of finite-dimensional left K-modules.

If V is a vector space, we shall denote by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : V^* \otimes_{\Bbbk} V \to \Bbbk$ the evaluation map.

By H we shall denote a Hopf algebra with counit ε, and antipode $\mathcal{S}$. We shall denote by $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ the category of finite-dimensional left H-modules emphasizing the canonical tensor structure.

If K is an H-comodule algebra with coaction $\delta : K \to H \otimes K$, an *$H$-costable ideal* of K is a two-sided ideal I of K such that $\delta(I) \subseteq H \otimes I$. We shall say that K is *H-simple* if it has no non-trivial H-costable ideal of K.

We shall denote ${}^H\mathcal{M}_K$ the category of left H-comodules, right K-modules such that the K-module structure is an H-comodule map. If $P \in {}^H\mathcal{M}_K$ then $\mathrm{End}_K(P)$ has a natural left H-comodule algebra structure via δ :

$\operatorname{End}_K(P) \to H \otimes_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{End}_K(P)$, $T \mapsto T_{(-1)} \otimes T_{(0)}$, determined by

$$\langle \alpha, T_{(-1)} \rangle \, T_0(p) = \langle \alpha, T(p_{(0)})_{(-1)} \mathcal{S}^{-1}(p_{(-1)}) \rangle \, T(p_{(0)})_{(0)}, \tag{1.1}$$

$T \in \operatorname{End}_K(P)$, $p \in P$, $\alpha \in H^*$. See [AM, Lemma 1.26].

Lemma 1.1. *Let $A \subseteq H$ be a Hopf subalgebra and V an A-module. The space $\operatorname{Hom}_A(H, V)$ has a natural H-module structure and there are natural H-module isomorphisms*

$$\big(\operatorname{Ind}_A^H V\big)^* \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_A(H, V^*).$$

Proof. The H-module structure on $\operatorname{Hom}_A(H, V)$ is as follows. If $t, h \in H$, $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_A(H, V)$ then

$$(h \cdot T)(t) = T(th).$$

It is not difficult to prove that the maps

$$\theta : \big(\operatorname{Ind}_A^H V\big)^* \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(H, V^*),$$

$$\widetilde{\theta} : \operatorname{Hom}_A(H, V^*) \to \big(\operatorname{Ind}_A^H V\big)^*,$$

given by the formulas

$$\theta(\alpha)(h) = \sum_i \alpha(\overline{\mathcal{S}(h) \otimes v_i}) \; v^i,$$

$$\widetilde{\theta}(\beta)(\overline{h \otimes v}) = \langle \beta(\mathcal{S}^{-1}(h)), v \rangle,$$

are well defined isomorphisms, one the inverse of each other, and they are H-module maps. Here $\alpha \in \big(\operatorname{Ind}_A^H V\big)^*, \beta \in \operatorname{Hom}_A(H, V^*)$, and $(v_i), (v^i)$ are dual basis for V and V^*, $h \in H$, $\overline{h \otimes v} \in \operatorname{Ind}_A^H V$.

□

1.1. **Stabilizers for Hopf algebra actions.** We recall very briefly the notion of Hopf algebra stabilizers introduced in [YZ], see also [AM].

Let K be a finite-dimensional left H-comodule algebra and V, W two left K-modules. The *Yan-Zhu stabilizer* $\operatorname{Stab}_K(V, W)$ is defined as the intersection

$$\operatorname{Stab}_K(V, W) = \operatorname{Hom}_K(H^* \otimes V, H^* \otimes W) \cap \mathcal{L}\big(H^* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)\big).$$

Here the map $\mathcal{L} : H^* \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(V, W) \to \operatorname{Hom}(H^* \otimes V, H^* \otimes W)$ is defined by $\mathcal{L}(\gamma \otimes T)(\xi \otimes v) = \gamma\xi \otimes T(v)$, for every $\gamma, \xi \in H^*$, $T \in \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$, $v \in V$.

The K-action on $H^* \otimes V$ is given by

$$k \cdot (\gamma \otimes v) = k_{(-1)} \rightharpoondown \gamma \otimes k_{(0)} \cdot v,$$

for all $k \in K$, $\gamma \in H^*$, $v \in V$. Here $\rightharpoondown : H \otimes H^* \to H^*$ is the action defined by $\langle h \rightharpoondown \gamma, t \rangle = \langle \gamma, \mathcal{S}^{-1}(h) t \rangle$, for all $h, t \in H$, $\gamma \in H^*$. Also, we denote $\operatorname{Stab}_K(V) = \operatorname{Stab}_K(V, V)$.

Proposition 1.2. [AM, Prop. 2.7, Prop. 2.16] *The following assertions holds.*

1. *For any left K-modules V, W, U there is a natural composition*

$$\operatorname{Stab}_K(V,W)\otimes_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Stab}_K(U,V) \to \operatorname{Stab}_K(U,W)$$

making $\operatorname{Stab}_K(V)$ *a left H-module algebra.*

2. *If K is H-simple then*

$$\dim(K)\dim(\operatorname{Stab}_K(V,W)) = \dim(V)\dim(W)\dim(H). \tag{1.2}$$

3. *For any $X \in \operatorname{Rep}(H)$ there are natural isomorphisms*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_H(X, \operatorname{Stab}_K(V,W)) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_K(X\otimes_{\Bbbk} V, W),$$

where the action on $X\otimes_{\Bbbk} V$ is given by the coaction of K. □

The following result concernig Yan-Zhu stabilizers will be useful later. If $A \subseteq H$ is a Hopf subalgebra, and $R = K^{\operatorname{co} A} \subseteq K$ is a left A-Hopf Galois extension then there are H-module algebra isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Stab}_K(V,W) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_A(H, \operatorname{Hom}_R(V,W)) \tag{1.3}$$

for any left K-modules V, W. Worth to mention that the action of A on $\operatorname{Hom}_R(V,W)$ is given by

$$(a\cdot T)(v) = a^{[1]}\cdot T(a^{[2]}\cdot v),$$

for all $a \in A, T \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(V,W), v \in V$. Recall that the map $\gamma : A \to K\otimes_R K$, $\gamma(a) = a^{[1]}\otimes a^{[2]}$ is defined by $\gamma(a) = can^{-1}(a\otimes 1)$, where $can : K\otimes_R K \to A\otimes_{\Bbbk} K$ is the canonical map $can(k\otimes s) = k_{(-1)}\otimes k_{(0)}s$, $k, s \in K$. For more details see [Sch, Rmk. 3.4], [AM, Thm. 2.23].

1.2. Module categories.

We briefly recall the definition of module category and the definition introduced by Etingof-Ostrik of *exact* module categories. We refer to [O1], [O2], [EO].

Let us fix $\mathcal{C}$ a finite tensor category. A *module category* over $\mathcal{C}$ is a collection $(\mathcal{M}, \overline{\otimes}, m, l)$ where $\mathcal{M}$ is an Abelian category, $\overline{\otimes} : \mathcal{C}\times\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is an exact bifunctor, associativity and unit isomorphisms $m_{X,Y,M} : (X\otimes Y)\overline{\otimes} M \to X\overline{\otimes}(Y\overline{\otimes} M)$, $l_M : \mathbf{1}\overline{\otimes} M \to M$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$, such that

$$m_{X,Y,Z\overline{\otimes} M}\, m_{X\otimes Y,Z,M} = (\mathrm{id}_X\otimes m_{Y,Z,M})\, m_{X,Y\otimes Z,M}\, (a_{X,Y,Z}\otimes \mathrm{id}_M), \tag{1.4}$$

$$(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes l_M)\, m_{X,\mathbf{1},M} = r_X\otimes \mathrm{id}_M, \tag{1.5}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Sometimes we shall simply say that $\mathcal{M}$ is a module category omitting to mention $\overline{\otimes}, m$ and l whenever no confusion arises.

In this paper we further assume that all module categories have finitely many isomorphism classes of simple objects.

Let $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}'$ be two module categories over $\mathcal{C}$. A module functor between $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ is a pair $(\mathcal{F}, c)$ where $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$ is a functor and $c_{X,M} : \mathcal{F}(X\overline{\otimes}M) \to X\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(M)$ is a family of natural isomorphisms such that

$$m'_{X,Y,\mathcal{F}(M)}\, c_{X\otimes Y,M} = (\mathrm{id}\,_X \otimes c_{Y,M})\, c_{X,Y\overline{\otimes}M}\mathcal{F}(m_{X,Y,M}), \tag{1.6}$$

$$l'_{\mathcal{F}(M)}\, c_{\mathbf{1},M} = \mathcal{F}(l_M), \tag{1.7}$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$. If $(\mathcal{G}, d) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$ is another module functor, a morphism between $(\mathcal{F}, c)$ and $(\mathcal{G}, d)$ is a natural transformation $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ such that for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}_1$:

$$d_{X,M}\alpha_{X\otimes M} = (\mathrm{id}\,_X \otimes \alpha_M)c_{X,M}. \tag{1.8}$$

The module structure over $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}'$ is defined in an obvious way. A module category is *indecomposable* if it is not equivalent to the direct sum of two non-trivial module categories.

A module category $\mathcal{M}$ is *exact* [EO] if for any projective object $P \in \mathcal{C}$ and any $M \in \mathcal{M}$ the object $P\overline{\otimes}M$ is again projective.

1.3. **Module categories over Hopf algebras.**

Let H be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra. Let K be a left H-comodule algebra. Then ${}_K\mathcal{M}$ is a left module category over $\mathrm{Rep}\, H$ via the coaction $\lambda : K \to H \otimes K$. That is, $\otimes : \mathrm{Rep}\, H \times {}_K\mathcal{M} \to {}_K\mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$X\otimes V := X\otimes_{\Bbbk} V,$$

for $X \in \mathrm{Rep}\, H$ and $V \in {}_K\mathcal{M}$ with action $k \cdot (x\otimes v) = k_{(-1)} \cdot x \otimes k_{(0)} \cdot v$, for all $k \in K$, $x \in X$, $v \in V$. Moreover, any exact module category is of this form.

Theorem 1.3.
1. *If K is H-simple left H-comodule algebra then ${}_K\mathcal{M}$ is an indecomposable exact module category.*
2. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is an indecomposable exact module category over* $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ *then there exists an H-simple left H-comodule algebra K such that $\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_K\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. See [AM, Prop. 1.20, Th. 3.3]. □

Let S be another H-simple left H-comodule algebra.

Proposition 1.4. [AM, Prop. 1.24] *The module categories ${}_K\mathcal{M}$, ${}_S\mathcal{M}$ over* $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ *are equivalent if and only if there exists $P \in {}^H\mathcal{M}_K$ such that $S \simeq$* $\mathrm{End}_K(P_K)$ *as H-module algebras. Moreover the equivalence is given by $F : {}_K\mathcal{M} \to {}_S\mathcal{M}$, $F(V) = P\otimes_K V$, for all $V \in {}_K\mathcal{M}$.* □

2. Dynamical twists constructed from dynamical functors

We recall a construction due to Donin and Mudrov of dynamical twists from dynamical adjoint functors, see [DM1, §6]. There are some differences in our statements and those appeared in *loc. cit.* since we use left module categories instead of right ones.

2.1. **Dynamical extensions of tensor categories.** In [DM1] for any tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ and a module category $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ the authors introduced a new tensor category, that we will denote by $\mathcal{M} \ltimes \mathcal{C}$. This tensor category is called the *dynamical extension* of $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathcal{M}$.

Objects in the category $\mathcal{M} \ltimes \mathcal{C}$ are functors $F_X : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $F_X(M) = X\overline{\otimes}M$, for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Morphisms are natural transformations. Observe that for each $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)$ there is a natural transformation $\eta_f : F_X \to F_Y$, given by

$$(\eta_f)_M : X\overline{\otimes}M \to Y\overline{\otimes}M, \quad (\eta_f)_M = f\otimes \mathrm{id}_M,$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

We briefly recall the monoidal structure of $\mathcal{M} \ltimes \mathcal{C}$. The tensor product is $F_X\otimes F_Y = F_{X\otimes Y}$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, and the associativity constraint is

$$\widetilde{a}_{X,Y,Z} : (F_X\otimes F_Y)\otimes F_Z \to F_X\otimes(F_Y\otimes F_Z), \quad (\widetilde{a}_{X,Y,Z})_M = (a_{X,Y,Z}\otimes\mathrm{id}_M),$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$. For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$ the left and right unit isomorphisms are given by

$$l_X : F_X\otimes F_{\mathbf{1}} \to F_X, \quad r_X : F_{\mathbf{1}}\otimes F_X \to F_X,$$

where $l_{X,M} = l_X\otimes\mathrm{id}_M$ and $r_X = r_X\otimes\mathrm{id}_M$ for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

If $\eta : F_X \to F_Z$, $\phi : F_Y \to F_W$ are two natural transformation the tensor product $\eta\otimes\phi : F_{X\otimes Y} \to F_{Z\otimes W}$ is given by the composition

$$(\eta\otimes\phi)_M = m^{-1}_{ZWM}\eta_{W\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\phi_M)m_{XYM}, \tag{2.1}$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$. The unit element is $F_{\mathbf{1}}$.

Remark 2.1. Note that for any $X, Y, U, V \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f : X \to Y, g : U \to V$,

$$(\eta_f\otimes\eta_g)_M = (f\otimes g)\otimes\mathrm{id}_M, \tag{2.2}$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 2.2. *If $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{N}$ as module categories then there is a tensor equivalence $\mathcal{M} \ltimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{N} \ltimes \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Assume that $(\mathcal{F}, c) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ and $(\mathcal{G}, d) : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a pair of equivalence of module categories. Let $\theta : \mathrm{Id} \to \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G}$ be a natural isomorphism of module functors, that is θ satisfies

$$c_{X,\mathcal{G}(N)}\,\mathcal{F}(d_{X,N})\,\theta_{X\overline{\otimes}N} = \mathrm{id}_X\otimes\theta_N, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $N \in \mathcal{N}$.

Define $\Phi : \mathcal{M}\ltimes\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{N}\ltimes\mathcal{C}$ the functor $\Phi(F_X) = \widetilde{F}_X$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Here, we denote $\widetilde{F}_X : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ the functor $\widetilde{F}_X(N) = X\overline{\otimes}N$, for all $N \in \mathcal{N}$. If $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\eta : F_X \to F_Y$ is a natural transformation then $\Phi(\eta) : \widetilde{F}_X \to \widetilde{F}_Y$ is given by the composition

$$\begin{aligned} X\overline{\otimes}N &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{X}\otimes\theta_N} X\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}(N)) \xrightarrow{c^{-1}_{X,\mathcal{G}(N)}} \mathcal{F}(X\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{G}(N)) \to \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(\eta_{\mathcal{G}(N)})} \mathcal{F}(Y\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{G}(N)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(d^{-1}_{Y,N})} \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}(Y\overline{\otimes}N) \xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}_{Y\overline{\otimes}N}} Y\overline{\otimes}N, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

for all $N \in \mathcal{N}$. The tensor structure on Φ is given by the identity. That is, for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ the natural isomorphisms $\xi : \Phi(F_X\otimes F_Y) \to \Phi(F_X)\otimes\Phi(F_Y)$ are given

$$\xi_{X,Y,N} : \widetilde{F}_{X\otimes Y}(N) \to \widetilde{F}_{X\otimes Y}(N), \quad \xi_{X,Y,N} = \mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{N},$$

for all $N \in \mathcal{N}$. We have to check that for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$, $N \in \mathcal{N}$ the following identity holds:

$$(a_{XYZ}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{N})(\xi_{XY}\mathrm{id}_{Z})_N\, \xi_{X\otimes Y,Z,N} = (\mathrm{id}_{X}\otimes\xi_{YZ})_N\Phi(a_{XYZ}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{N}). \tag{2.5}$$

The left hand side of (2.5) is equal to $(a_{XYZ}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{N})$, the right hand side is equal to $\Phi(a_{XYZ}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{N})$, and using (2.4), is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta^{-1}_{(X\otimes(Y\otimes Z))\overline{\otimes}N}\, \mathcal{F}(d^{-1}_{X\otimes(Y\otimes Z),N})\, (a_{XYZ}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}(N))})c^{-1}_{(X\otimes Y)\otimes Z,\mathcal{G}(N)}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\theta_N) \\ &= (a_{XYZ}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{N})\theta^{-1}_{((X\otimes Y)\otimes Z)\overline{\otimes}N}\, \mathcal{F}(d^{-1}_{(X\otimes Y)\otimes Z,N})c^{-1}_{(X\otimes Y)\otimes Z,\mathcal{G}(N)}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\theta_N) \\ &= (a_{XYZ}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{N}). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows by (2.3).

□

The following definition seems to be well-known.

Definition 2.3. A *cocycle* in $\mathcal{C}$ is a family of isomorphisms $J_{X,Y} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X\otimes Y)$ such that for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$

$$a_{XYZ}\, J_{X\otimes Y,Z}\, (J_{X,Y}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{Z}) = J_{X,Y\otimes Z}\, (\mathrm{id}_{X}\otimes J_{Y,Z})\, a_{XYZ}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$J_{X,\mathbf{1}} = \mathrm{id}_{X\otimes\mathbf{1}}, \quad J_{\mathbf{1},X} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}\otimes X}. \tag{2.7}$$

If J is a cocycle in $\mathcal{C}$ then there is a new monoidal category, $\mathcal{C}^J$ defined as follows. The objects and morphisms are the same as in $\mathcal{C}$. The tensor product of $\mathcal{C}^J$ coincides with the tensor product of $\mathcal{C}$ on objects. If $f : X \to Y, g : Z \to W$ is a pair of morphisms then

$$f\widetilde{\otimes}g = J_{Y,W}(f\otimes g)J^{-1}_{X,Z}.$$

Evidently if J commutes with morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ then the tensor category $\mathcal{C}^J$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $(\mathcal{M}, m, l)$ be a module category over $\mathcal{C}$. The following definition is due to Donin and Mudrov, see [DM1, Definition 5.2].

Definition 2.4. A *dynamical twist* for the extension $\mathcal{M} \ltimes \mathcal{C}$ is a cocycle J in $\mathcal{M} \ltimes \mathcal{C}$ such that J commutes with morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, that is

$$J_{Z,W}(\eta_f\otimes\eta_g) = (\eta_f\otimes\eta_g)J_{X,Y}, \tag{2.8}$$

for all $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z), g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, W)$.

More explicitly, a dynamical twist is a family of isomorphisms

$$J_{X,Y,M} : (X\otimes Y)\overline{\otimes} M \to (X\otimes Y)\overline{\otimes} M,$$

$X, Y \in \mathcal{C}, M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$(a_{XYZ}\otimes \mathrm{id}_M) J_{X\otimes Y,Z,M} m^{-1}_{X\otimes Y,Z,M} J_{X,Y,Z\overline{\otimes} M} m_{X\otimes Y,Z,M} = \\ = J_{X,Y\otimes Z,M} m^{-1}_{X,Y\otimes Z,M} (\mathrm{id}_X\otimes J_{Y,Z,M}) m_{X,Y\otimes Z,M} (a_{XYZ}\otimes \mathrm{id}_M), \tag{2.9}$$

$$(l_X\otimes \mathrm{id}_M) J_{X,\mathbf{1},M} = (l_X\otimes \mathrm{id}_M), \quad J_{\mathbf{1},X,M}(r_X\otimes \mathrm{id}_M) = (r_X\otimes \mathrm{id}_M), \tag{2.10}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

Equation (2.8) implies that

$$J_{Z,W,M} m^{-1}_{Z,W,M} (f\otimes(g\otimes \mathrm{id}_M)) m_{X,Y,M} = m^{-1}_{Z,W,M} (f\otimes(g\otimes \mathrm{id}_M)) m_{X,Y,M} J_{X,Y,M},$$

for all morphisms $f : X \to Z$, $g : Y \to W$ in $\mathcal{C}$, and all $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

2.2. Module categories coming from dynamical twists.

If J is a dynamical twist for the dynamical extension $\mathcal{M} \ltimes \mathcal{C}$ we will denote by $\mathcal{M}^{(J)}$ the category $\mathcal{M}$ with the following module category structure; the action is the same as in $\mathcal{M}$ and the associativity isomorphisms are

$$\widehat{m}_{X,Y,M} : (X\otimes Y)\overline{\otimes} M \to X\overline{\otimes}(Y\overline{\otimes} M), \quad \widehat{m}_{X,Y,M} = m_{X,Y,M} J^{-1}_{X,Y,M},$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let J be a dynamical twist for the dynamical extension $\mathcal{M} \ltimes \mathcal{C}$.*

1. *$\mathcal{M}^{(J)}$ is a module category over $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is indecomposable then so is $\mathcal{M}^{(J)}$.*
2. *There is a tensor equivalence $\mathcal{M}^{(J)} \ltimes \mathcal{C} \simeq (\mathcal{M} \ltimes \mathcal{C})^J$.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

The idea of using the module category language in the study of dynamical twists is due to Ostrik, see [O1]. In *loc. cit.* the author relates the classification of module categories over Rep(G), G a finite group, with the results obtained by Etingof and Nikshych on dynamical twists over the group algebra of the group G [EN1]. This idea was used with profit in [M].

2.3. Dynamical twists and dynamical adjoint functors.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category. Cocycles in $\mathcal{C}$ are in bijective correspondence with natural associative operations in the space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}$. If J is a cocycle then

$$\circledast : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, U)\otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V', U') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V\otimes V', U\otimes U')$$

defined by $\phi\circledast\psi = (\phi\otimes\psi)J^{-1}_{VU}$ is an associative operation for all $V, U, V', U' \in \mathcal{C}$.

The following result is analogous to [DM1, Lemma 6.1].

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that there is an associative operation*

$$\circledast : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, U)\otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V', U') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V\otimes V', U\otimes U')$$

for all $V, U, V', U' \in \mathcal{C}$ *such that*

$$(\phi \circledast \psi) = (\phi\otimes\psi)(\mathrm{id} \circledast \mathrm{id}\,), \tag{2.11}$$

$$\phi \circledast \xi = \phi\otimes\xi,\ \xi \circledast \phi = \xi\otimes\phi \tag{2.12}$$

for all morphisms $\phi, \psi, \alpha, \beta$ *in* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\xi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, \mathbf{1})$. *Assume also that for any* $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$ $I_{UV} = \mathrm{id}_U \circledast \mathrm{id}_V$ *is invertible. Then* $J_{UV} = I_{UV}^{-1}$, $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$, *is a cocycle in* $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. The proof is entirely similar to the proof of [DM1, Lemma 6.1]. ☐

Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ be two tensor categories. Let $(\mathcal{M}, m, l)$ be a module category over $\mathcal{C}$ and $(\mathcal{M}', m', l')$ be a module category over $\mathcal{C}'$. Let $(R, b) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ be a tensor functor. The following definition is [DM1, Def. 6.2] for right module categories.

Definition 2.7. A functor $T : \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M}$ is said to be a *dynamical adjoint* to R if there exists a family of natural isomorphisms

$$\xi_{X,M,N} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(X\overline{\otimes}\, T(M), T(N)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}'}(R(X)\overline{\otimes}\, M, N),$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $M, N \in \mathcal{M}'$. We further assume that for any $M \in \mathcal{M}'$

$$\xi_{\mathbf{1},M,M}(l_{T(M)}) = l'_M. \tag{2.13}$$

Remark 2.8. For any $M, M', N, N' \in \mathcal{M}'$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and morphisms $f : N \to N'$, $g : M :\to M'$, $\alpha : X \to Y$, the naturality of ξ implies that

$$f \circ \xi_{X,M,N}(\beta_1) = \xi_{X,M,N'}(T(f)\beta_1), \tag{2.14}$$

$$\xi_{X,M',N}(\beta_2) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{R(X)}\otimes g) = \xi_{X,M,N}(\beta_2(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes T(g))), \tag{2.15}$$

$$\xi_{Y,M,N}(\beta_3)(R(\alpha)\otimes\mathrm{id}_M) = \xi_{X,M,N}(\beta_3(\alpha\otimes\mathrm{id}_{T(M)})), \tag{2.16}$$

for any $\beta_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(X\overline{\otimes}\, T(M), T(N))$, $\beta_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(X\overline{\otimes}\, T(M'), T(N))$, $\beta_3 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y\overline{\otimes} T(M), T(N))$.

The category $\mathcal{M}'$ is a module category over $\mathcal{C}$ via R. The action is given by $\widetilde{\otimes} : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M}'$, $X\widetilde{\otimes} M = R(X)\overline{\otimes} M$, for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}'$. The associativity isomorphisms are

$$\widetilde{m}_{X,Y,M} = m'_{R(X),R(Y),M}(b_{XY}\otimes\mathrm{id}_M)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}'$.

For any pair of dynamical adjoint functors (R,T) we will repeat the construction given in [DM1] of a dynamical twist for the extension $\mathcal{M}'\ltimes\mathcal{C}$. For this we will define an associative operation in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}'\ltimes\mathcal{C}}$.

In some sense, the dynamical twist constructed from the pair (R,T) *measures* how far is the functor T from being a module functor.

Let $X,Y,U,V\in\mathcal{C}$ and $\phi:F_X\to F_Y$, $\psi:F_U\to F_V$ be morphisms in $\mathcal{M}'\ltimes\mathcal{C}$. So for each $M\in\mathcal{M}'$ we have that $\phi_M:R(X)\overline{\otimes}M\to R(Y)\overline{\otimes}M$, $\psi_M:R(U)\overline{\otimes}M\to R(V)\overline{\otimes}M$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{M}'$.

Set $\widetilde{\phi}_M=\xi^{-1}_{X,M,R(Y)\overline{\otimes}M}(\phi_M)$, and $\widetilde{\psi}_M=\xi^{-1}_{U,M,R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}(\psi_M)$. Thus we define $(\phi\circledast\psi)_M$ as the image by ξ of the composition

$$(X\otimes U)\overline{\otimes}T(M)\xrightarrow{m_{X,U,T(M)}}X\overline{\otimes}(U\overline{\otimes}T(M))\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_M}X\overline{\otimes}T(R(V)\overline{\otimes}M)\to$$

$$\xrightarrow{\widetilde{\phi}_{R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}}T(R(Y)\overline{\otimes}(R(V)\overline{\otimes}M))\xrightarrow{T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{YVM})}T(R(Y\otimes V)\overline{\otimes}M).$$

That is, for all $M\in\mathcal{M}'$, $(\phi\circledast\psi)_M$ equals to

$$\xi_{X\otimes U,M,R(Y\otimes V)\overline{\otimes}M}\big(T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{YVM})\widetilde{\phi}_{R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\widetilde{\psi}_M)m_{X,U,T(M)}\big).$$

Lemma 2.9. *For any $X,Y,U,V\in\mathcal{C}$ and morphisms $f:X\to Y$, $g\in U\to V$, $\phi:F_X\to F_Y$, $\psi:F_U\to F_V$*

$$(\eta_f\circledast\eta_g)=(\mathrm{id}_Y\circledast\mathrm{id}_V)(\eta_f\otimes\eta_g). \tag{2.17}$$

$$\phi\circledast\psi=(\phi\otimes\psi)(\mathrm{id}_X\circledast\mathrm{id}_U). \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. Using (2.16) we have that $(\eta_f\circledast\eta_g)$ equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
&=\xi_{X\otimes U,M,R(Y\otimes V)\overline{\otimes}M}\big(T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{YVM})\xi^{-1}_{Y,M,R(Y)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id})(f\otimes\mathrm{id}_{T(M)})\\
&\qquad(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\xi^{-1}_{V,M,R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id})(g\otimes\mathrm{id}_{T(M)}))m_{X,U,T(M)}\big)\\
&=\xi_{X\otimes U,M,R(Y\otimes V)\overline{\otimes}M}\big(T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{YVM})\xi^{-1}_{Y,M,R(Y)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}_Y\otimes\xi^{-1}_{V,M,R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}))\\
&\qquad(f\otimes(g\otimes\mathrm{id}_{T(M)}))m_{X,U,T(M)}\big)\\
&=\xi_{X\otimes U,M,R(Y\otimes V)\overline{\otimes}M}\big(T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{YVM})\xi^{-1}_{Y,M,R(Y)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}_Y\otimes\xi^{-1}_{V,M,R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}))\\
&\qquad m_{Y,V,T(M)}((f\otimes g)\otimes\mathrm{id}_{T(M)})\big)\\
&=\xi_{X\otimes U,M,R(Y\otimes V)\overline{\otimes}M}\big(T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{YVM})\xi^{-1}_{Y,M,R(Y)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}_Y\otimes\xi^{-1}_{V,M,R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}))\\
&\qquad m_{Y,V,T(M)}\big)(R(f\otimes g)\otimes\mathrm{id}_M)=(\mathrm{id}_Y\circledast\mathrm{id}_V)(\eta_f\otimes\eta_g).
\end{aligned}$$

The third equality follows from the naturality of m and the fourth equality, again, follows from (2.16). Thus we have proved (2.17).

Note that for any $\phi : F_X \to F_Y$, $\psi : F_U \to F_V$, equations (2.14) and (2.15) implies that for any $M \in \mathcal{M}'$ $\widetilde{\phi}_{R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \widetilde{\psi}_M)$ equals to

$$\xi^{-1}_{X,R(U)\overline{\otimes}M,R(Y)\overline{\otimes}(R(V)\overline{\otimes}M)}\big(\phi_{R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \psi_M)\big)\,\big(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \xi^{-1}_{U,M,R(U)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id})\big).$$

Also, using (2.14) we get that $\xi^{-1}_{X,R(U)\overline{\otimes}M,R(Y)\overline{\otimes}(R(V)\overline{\otimes}M)}\big(\phi_{R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \psi_M)\big)$ is equal to

$$T(\phi_{R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}((\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \psi_M))\,\xi^{-1}_{X,R(U)\overline{\otimes}M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}(R(U)\overline{\otimes}M)}(\mathrm{id}).$$

Thus, for any $M \in \mathcal{M}'$, $\xi^{-1}_{X\otimes U,M,R(Y\otimes V)\overline{\otimes}M}\big((\phi \circledast \psi)_M\big)$ is equal to

$$T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{Y,V,M}\phi_{R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}((\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \psi_M))\xi^{-1}_{X,R(U)\overline{\otimes}M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}(R(U)\overline{\otimes}M)}(\mathrm{id})\big(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \xi^{-1}_{U,M,R(U)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id})\big)$$

Follows from (2.1) that

$$T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{Y,V,M}\phi_{R(V)\overline{\otimes}M}((\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \psi_M)) = T((\phi\otimes\psi)_M\,\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{X,U,M}),$$

hence $(\phi \circledast \psi)_M$ equals to

$$\xi_{X\otimes U,M,R(Y\otimes V)\overline{\otimes}M}\big(T((\phi\otimes\psi)_M\,\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{X,U,M})\xi^{-1}_{X,R(U)\overline{\otimes}M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}(R(U)\overline{\otimes}M)}(\mathrm{id})\big(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \xi^{-1}_{U,M,R(U)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id})\big)\big)$$

Using again (2.14) we get that $(\phi \circledast \psi)_M$ equals to

$$(\phi\otimes\psi)_M\,\xi_{X\otimes U,M,R(Y\otimes V)\overline{\otimes}M}\big(T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{X,U,M})\xi^{-1}_{X,R(U)\overline{\otimes}M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}(R(U)\overline{\otimes}M)}(\mathrm{id})\big(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \xi^{-1}_{U,M,R(U)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id})\big)\big),$$

and by definition this is equal to $(\phi\otimes\psi)_M(\mathrm{id}_X \circledast \mathrm{id}_U)_M$.

$\square$

Definition 2.10. For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ set

$$I_{X,Y} = \mathrm{id}_X \circledast \mathrm{id}_Y. \tag{2.19}$$

Lemma 2.11. *For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $I_{X,\mathbf{1}} = \mathrm{id}_X \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}}$, $I_{\mathbf{1},X} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_X$.*

Proof. By definition, for all $M \in \mathcal{M}'$, $\xi^{-1}_{X\otimes\mathbf{1},M,R(X\otimes\mathbf{1})\overline{\otimes}M}((\mathrm{id}_X \circledast \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}})_M)$ equals to

$$T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{X,\mathbf{1},M})\xi^{-1}_{X,R(\mathbf{1})\overline{\otimes}M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}(\mathbf{1}\overline{\otimes}M)}(\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \xi^{-1}_{\mathbf{1},M,\mathbf{1}\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}))m_{X,\mathbf{1},M} \tag{2.20}$$

Using (1.5) and (2.14) for the map $\mathrm{id}_{R(X)} \otimes l_M$ we get that (2.20) is equal to

$$T(b_{X,\mathbf{1}}r'^{-1}_{R(X)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_M)\xi^{-1}_{X,\mathbf{1}\overline{\otimes}M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}_{R(X)} \otimes l'_M)(\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \xi^{-1}_{\mathbf{1},M,\mathbf{1}\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}))m_{X,\mathbf{1},M}. \tag{2.21}$$

From (2.14) and (2.13) we get that

$$\xi^{-1}_{\mathbf{1},M,\mathbf{1}\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}\,) = T(l'^{-1}_M)\xi^{-1}_{\mathbf{1},M,M}(l'_M) = T(l'^{-1}_M)l_{T(M)}.$$

Now, using (1.5) follows that (2.21) equals to

$$T(b_{X,\mathbf{1}}r'^{-1}_{R(X)}\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M)\xi^{-1}_{X,\mathbf{1}\overline{\otimes}M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}\,_{R(X)}\otimes l'_M)(\mathrm{id}\,_X\otimes T(l'^{-1}_M))(r_X\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M). \tag{2.22}$$

From (2.15) and (2.15) we get that

$$\xi^{-1}_{X,\mathbf{1}\overline{\otimes}M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}\,_{R(X)}\otimes l'_M)(\mathrm{id}\,_X\otimes T(l'^{-1}_M)) = \xi^{-1}_{X,M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}\,),$$
$$\xi^{-1}_{X,M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}\,)(r_X\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M) = \xi^{-1}_{X\otimes\mathbf{1},M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(R(r_X)\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M)$$

thus (2.22) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}T(b_{X,\mathbf{1}}r'^{-1}_{R(X)}\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M)\xi^{-1}_{X,M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}\,)(r_X\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M) &=\\ &= T(b_{X,\mathbf{1}}r'^{-1}_{R(X)}\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M)\xi^{-1}_{X\otimes\mathbf{1},M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(R(r_X)\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, $(\mathrm{id}\,_X\circledast\mathrm{id}\,_{\mathbf{1}})_M$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&= \xi_{X\otimes\mathbf{1},M,R(X\otimes\mathbf{1})\overline{\otimes}M}\big(T(b_{X,\mathbf{1}}r'^{-1}_{R(X)}\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M)\xi^{-1}_{X\otimes\mathbf{1},M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(R(r_X)\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M)\big)\\ &= (b_{X,\mathbf{1}}r'^{-1}_{R(X)}\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M)\xi_{X\otimes\mathbf{1},M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}\big(\xi^{-1}_{X\otimes\mathbf{1},M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(R(r_X)\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M)\big)\\ &= (b_{X,\mathbf{1}}r'^{-1}_{R(X)}\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M)(R(r_X)\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M) = \mathrm{id}\,_{R(X)}\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_{\mathbf{1}}\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M.\end{aligned}$$

The equality $I_{\mathbf{1},X} = \mathrm{id}\,_{\mathbf{1}}\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_X$ follows in a similar way. □

Lemma 2.12. *For any* $U,V,W,X,Y,Z\in\mathcal{C}$, $\phi: F_X\to F_Y$, $\psi: F_U\to F_V$, $\chi: F_Z\to F_W$

$$(\phi\circledast\psi)\circledast\chi = \phi\circledast(\psi\circledast\chi).$$

Proof. The proof is done by a tedious but straightforward computation. □

The following result is a re-statement of [DM1, Prop. 6.3].

Theorem 2.13. *Let us assume that for any* $X\in\mathcal{C}, M\in\mathcal{M}'$ *the map* $\xi^{-1}_{X,M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}\,)$ *is an isomorphism. Then for any* $X,Y\in\mathcal{C}$ *the maps* $I_{X,Y}$ *are invertible and* $J_{X,Y} = I^{-1}_{X,Y}$ *is a dynamical twist for the extension* $\mathcal{M}'\ltimes\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Once we prove that $I_{X,Y}$ are invertible for any $X,Y\in\mathcal{C}$, the proof that $I^{-1}_{X,Y}$ is a dynamical twist for the extension $\mathcal{M}'\ltimes\mathcal{C}$ follows immediately from Lemmas 2.6, 2.9, 2.11 and 2.12.

Let $X\in\mathcal{C}$, $M,N\in\mathcal{M}'$ and $\beta: X\otimes T(M)\to T(N)$ be an invertible map with inverse $\gamma: T(N)\to X\otimes T(M)$. There exists an $f: R(X)\overline{\otimes}M\to N$ such that $\beta = \xi^{-1}_{X,M,N}(f)$. Using (2.14) we obtain that $\beta = T(f)\xi^{-1}_{X,M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}\,)$, hence f is invertible. Therefore $\xi_{X,M,N}(\beta)$ is invertible. In another words, ξ maps isomorphisms to isomorphisms.

Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}'$. By definition

$$(I_{X,Y})_M = \xi_{X\otimes Y, M, R(X\otimes Y)\overline{\otimes} M}\big(T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{X,Y,M})\ \theta\ m_{X,Y,T(M)}\big),$$

where $\theta = \xi^{-1}_{X,R(Y)\overline{\otimes}M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}(R(Y)\overline{\otimes}M)}(\mathrm{id}\,)\,(\mathrm{id}\,_X\otimes\xi^{-1}_{Y,M,R(Y)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}\,))$. By assumption θ is invertible, thus $T(\widetilde{m}^{-1}_{X,Y,M})\ \theta\ m_{X,Y,T(M)}$ is invertible, hence $I_{X,Y}$ is invertible. $\square$

Remark 2.14. For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ $I_{X,Y} = \mathrm{id}\,_{X\otimes Y}$ if and only if the functor $(T, c) : \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M}$ is a module functor, where $c_{X,M} : T(R(X)\overline{\otimes}M) \to X\overline{\otimes}T(M)$ is defined by $c_{X,M} = (\xi^{-1}_{X,M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}\,))^{-1}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}'$.

Lemma 2.15. *Let T be a dynamical adjoint to R and let J be the dynamical twist associated. Then the functor $(T, c) : (\mathcal{M}')^{(J)} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a module functor, where $c_{X,M} = (\xi^{-1}_{X,M,R(X)\overline{\otimes}M}(\mathrm{id}\,))^{-1}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}'$.* $\square$

3. Dynamical twists over Hopf algebras

In this section we shall focus our attention to the computation of dynamical twists for a dynamical extension of the category $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$, where H is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebras, and we shall give explicit examples.

Hereafter we shall denote by H a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra. Let S be a left H-comodule algebra.

Definition 3.1. A *dynamical twist with base S* for H is an invertible element $J \in H\otimes_{\Bbbk}H\otimes_{\Bbbk}S$ such that

$$J^1 s_{(-2)}\otimes J^2 s_{(-1)}\otimes J^3 s_{(0)} = s_{(-2)}J^1\otimes s_{(-1)}J^2\otimes s_{(0)}J^3 \quad \text{for all } s \in S, \tag{3.1}$$

$$j^1{}_{(1)}J^1\otimes j^1{}_{(2)}J^2\otimes j^2 J^3{}_{(-1)}\otimes j^3 J^3{}_{(0)} = j^1\otimes j^2{}_{(1)}J^1\otimes j^2{}_{(2)}J^2\otimes j^3 J^3, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\langle\varepsilon, J^1\rangle\, J^2\otimes J^3 = 1_H\otimes 1_K = \langle\varepsilon, J^2\rangle\, J^1\otimes J^3. \tag{3.3}$$

Here we use the notation $J = J^1\otimes J^2\otimes J^3 = j^1\otimes j^2\otimes j^3$ avoiding the summation symbol.

Remark 3.2. Definition 3.1 coincides with the definition of dynamical twist over an Abelian group given in [EN1], [EN2].

Definition 3.3. Two dynamical twists for H over S, J and J', are said to be *gauge equivalent* if there exists an invertible element $t \in H\otimes S$ such that

$$\langle\varepsilon, t^1\rangle\, t^2 = 1,$$
$$t^1{}_{(1)}J^1\otimes t^1{}_{(2)}J^2\otimes t^2 J^3 = j^1 t^1\otimes j^2 T^1 t^2{}_{(-1)}\otimes j^3 T^2 t^2{}_{(0)}.$$

Here $J = J^1\otimes J^2\otimes J^3$, $J' = j^1\otimes j^2\otimes j^3$, $t = t^1\otimes t^2 = T^1\otimes T^2$.

The following Lemma is a straightforward consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 3.4. *Let J be a dynamical twist with base S for H. For any $X, Y \in \mathrm{Rep}(H)$, $M \in {}_S\mathcal{M}$ define $\mathcal{J}_{X,Y,M} : X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} M \to X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} M$ by*

$$\mathcal{J}_{X,Y,M}(x \otimes y \otimes m) = J^1 \cdot x \otimes J^2 \cdot y \otimes J^3 \cdot m,$$

for all $x \in x, y \in Y, m \in M$. Then $\mathcal{J}$ is a dynamical twist for the dynamical extension ${}_S\mathcal{M} \ltimes \mathrm{Rep}(H)$.

Moreover, any dynamical twist for the extension ${}_S\mathcal{M} \ltimes \mathrm{Rep}(H)$ comes from a dynamical twist with base S over H.

□

Lemma 3.5. *If J and J' are gauge equivalent dynamical twists for H with base S then ${}_S\mathcal{M}^{(J)} \simeq {}_S\mathcal{M}^{(J')}$ as module categories over* $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$*.*

Proof. Let $(F, c) : {}_S\mathcal{M}^{(J)} \to {}_S\mathcal{M}^{(J')}$ be the functor defined as follows. For any $M \in {}_S\mathcal{M}$ and $X \in \mathrm{Rep}(H)$, $F(M) = M$ and $c_{X,M} : X \otimes_{\Bbbk} M \to X \otimes_{\Bbbk} M$, $c_{X,M}(x \otimes m) = t^1 \cdot x \otimes t^2 \cdot m$, for any $x \in X, m \in M$. It is easy to verify that (F, c) gives an equivalence of module categories.

□

3.1. **Dynamical twists and Hopf Galois extensions.**

Let S be a left H-comodule algebra. For any dynamical twist with base S for H there is associated a H-Galois extension with coinvariants S.

Set $B = H^* \otimes_{\Bbbk} S$. The coproduct of H^* endows B with a right $H^{*\mathrm{cop}}$-comodule structure, that is $\delta : B \to B \otimes_{\Bbbk} H^*$, $\delta(\alpha \otimes s) = \alpha_{(2)} \otimes s \otimes \alpha_{(1)}$, for all $\alpha \in H^*$, $s \in S$. Clearly $B^{\mathrm{co}\, H^{*\mathrm{cop}}} = S$.

If $J \in H \otimes_{\Bbbk} H \otimes_{\Bbbk} S$ we endowed B with the following product:

$$(\alpha \otimes k)(\beta \otimes s) = (J^1 \rightharpoonup \alpha)(J^2 k_{(-1)} \rightharpoonup \beta) \otimes J^3 k_{(0)} s, \tag{3.4}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in H^*$, $k, s \in S$.

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that $J \in H \otimes_{\Bbbk} H \otimes_{\Bbbk} S$ is a dynamical twist with base S, then $B \supset S$ is a $H^{*\mathrm{cop}}$-Hopf Galois extension.*

Proof. First we prove that B is an associative algebra with the product described in (3.4). Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in H^*$, $k, s, r \in S$, then

$$\big((\alpha \otimes k)(\beta \otimes s)\big)(\gamma \otimes r) = \big((J^1 \rightharpoonup \alpha)(J^2 k_{(-1)} \rightharpoonup \beta) \otimes J^3 k_{(0)} s\big)(\gamma \otimes r)$$
$$= (j^1{}_{(1)} J^1 \rightharpoonup \alpha)(j^1{}_{(2)} J^2 k_{(-2)} \rightharpoonup \beta)(j^2 J^3{}_{(-1)} k_{(-1)} s_{(-1)} \rightharpoonup \gamma) \otimes j^3 J^3{}_{(0)} k_{(0)} s_{(0)} r.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha \otimes k)\big((\beta \otimes s)(\gamma \otimes r)\big) &= (\alpha \otimes k)\big((J^1 \rightharpoonup \beta)(J^2 s_{(-1)} \rightharpoonup \gamma)\big) \otimes J^3 s_{(0)} r \\
&= (j^1 \rightharpoonup \alpha)(j^2{}_{(1)} k_{(-1)} J^1 \rightharpoonup \beta)(j^2{}_{(2)} k_{(-2)} J^2 s_{(-1)} \rightharpoonup \gamma) \otimes j^3 k_{(0)} J^3 s_{(0)} r \\
&= (j^1 \rightharpoonup \alpha)(j^2{}_{(1)} J^1 k_{(-1)} \rightharpoonup \beta)(j^2{}_{(2)} J^2 k_{(-2)} s_{(-1)} \rightharpoonup \gamma) \otimes j^3 J^3 k_{(0)} s_{(0)} r.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows by (3.1). From (3.2) follows that

$$\big((\alpha \otimes k)(\beta \otimes s)\big)(\gamma \otimes r) = (\alpha \otimes k)\big((\beta \otimes s)(\gamma \otimes r)\big).$$

The proof that B is a $H^{*\mathrm{cop}}$-comodule algebra is straightforward. Let $can : B\otimes_S B \to B\otimes_{\Bbbk} H^{*\mathrm{cop}}$ be the canonical map; that is $can(a\otimes b) = ab_{(0)}\otimes b_{(1)}$, for all $a, b \in B$. In this case,

$$can((\alpha\otimes k)\otimes(\beta\otimes s)) = (J^1 \rightharpoonup \alpha)(J^2 k_{(-1)} \rightharpoonup \beta_{(2)})\otimes J^3 k_{(0)} s\otimes\beta_{(1)},$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in H^*$, $k, s \in S$. It is easy to see that the inverse of can is given by $can^{-1} : B\otimes_{\Bbbk} H^{*\mathrm{cop}} \to B\otimes_S B$,

$$can^{-1}(\gamma\otimes r\otimes\beta) = J^{-1} \rightharpoonup (\gamma\mathcal{S}(\beta_{(2)}))\otimes 1\otimes(J^{-2} \rightharpoonup \beta_{(1)})\otimes J^{-3} r,$$

for all $\gamma, \beta \in H^*$, $r \in S$. Thus $B \supset S$ is a $H^{*\mathrm{cop}}$-Galois extension.

□

3.2. **Dynamical twists coming from dynamical datum.**

In this subsection we shall give a method for constructing dynamical twists with base A, where $A \subset H$ is a Hopf subalgebra. This construction is based on the same ideas contained in [EN1], [M] without assuming commutativity nor cocommutativity of the base of the dynamical twist.

The following definition generalizes [EN1, Def. 4.5], see also [M, Def. 3.8].

Definition 3.7. A *dynamical datum* for H over A is a pair (K, T) where K is a left H-comodule algebra H-simple, with trivial coinvariants, $T : \mathrm{Rep}(A) \to {}_K\mathcal{M}$ is a functor such that there are natural H-module isomorphisms

$$\omega_{VW} : \mathrm{Stab}_K(T(V), T(W)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\mathrm{Ind}_A^H (V\otimes_{\Bbbk} W^*)\right)^*, \tag{3.5}$$

for any $V, W \in \mathrm{Rep}(A)$. We shall further assume that

$$\omega_{VV}(1)(\overline{h\otimes v\otimes f}) = \langle\varepsilon, h\rangle\langle f, v\rangle, \tag{3.6}$$

for all $h \in H$, $v \in V, f \in V^*$.

Two dynamical data (K, T) and (S, U) are *equivalent* if and only if there exists an element $P \in {}^H\mathcal{M}_K$ such that $S \simeq \mathrm{End}_K(P_K)$ as H-comodule algebras, and there exists a family of natural K-module isomorphisms

$$\phi_V : P\otimes_K T(V) \xrightarrow{\simeq} U(V),$$

for all $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(A)$.

Remark 3.8. If (K, T) is a dynamical datum, then for any $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(A)$

$$\dim A\,(\dim T(V))^2 = \dim K\,(\dim V)^2. \tag{3.7}$$

These formula follows straightforward from the definition of dynamical datum and formula (1.2).

Denote by $R : \mathrm{Rep}(H) \to \mathrm{Rep}(A)$ the restriction functor.

Proposition 3.9. *If (K, T) is a dynamical datum then T is a dynamical adjoint to R.*

Proof. Category ${}_K\mathcal{M}$ is a module category over $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ as explained in section 1.3. The category $\mathrm{Rep}(A)$ is a module category over itself. Let $V, W \in {}_K\mathcal{M}$ and $X \in \mathrm{Rep}(H)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Hom}_K(X\otimes_{\Bbbk} T(V), T(W)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_H(X, \mathrm{Stab}_K(T(V), T(W))) \simeq\\ &\mathrm{Hom}_H(X, \big(\mathrm{Ind}_A^H\,(V\otimes_{\Bbbk} W^*)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_H(\mathrm{Ind}_A^H\,(V\otimes_{\Bbbk} W^*), X^*) \simeq\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(V\otimes_{\Bbbk} W^*, R(X^*)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(R(X), W\otimes_{\Bbbk} V^*) \simeq\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(R(X)\otimes_{\Bbbk} V, W).\end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism follows from Proposition 1.2 (3), the second because (K, T) is a dynamical datum and the fourth isomorphism is Frobenius reciprocity.

Let us denote by $\xi : \mathrm{Hom}_K(X\otimes_{\Bbbk} T(V), T(W)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(R(X)\otimes_{\Bbbk} V, W)$ the composition of the above isomorphisms. Is clear that ξ satisfies (2.13) since we requested that the isomorphisms ω_{VW} satisfy (3.6).

□

Definition 3.10. For any dynamical datum (K, T) we shall denote by J_T the associated dynamical twists for the Hopf algebra H with base A according to Theorem 2.13.

In the following we shall prove that the construction of the dynamical twist does not depend on the equivalence class of the dynamical datum.

Proposition 3.11. *Let (K, T) and (S, U) be two equivalent dynamical data over A. Then J_T is gauge equivalent to J_S.*

For the proof we will need first some technical results. From the hypothesis we know that there exists $P \in {}^H\mathcal{M}_K$ such that $S \simeq \mathrm{End}_K(P_K)$ as H-module algebras and natural isomorphisms

$$\phi_V : P\otimes_K T(V) \xrightarrow{\simeq} U(V),$$

for all $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(A)$. For any For any $X \in \mathrm{Rep}(H)$, $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(A)$ let us denote by

$$\xi : \mathrm{Hom}_K(X\otimes_{\Bbbk} T(V), T(W)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(R(X)\otimes_{\Bbbk} V, W),$$
$$\zeta : \mathrm{Hom}_S(X\otimes_{\Bbbk} U(V), U(W)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(R(X)\otimes_{\Bbbk} V, W),$$

the family of natural isomorphisms constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.9.

For any $X \in \mathrm{Rep}(H)$, $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(A)$, $M \in {}_K\mathcal{M}$ let us define

$$\theta_{X,M} : X\otimes_{\Bbbk}(P\otimes_K M) \to P\otimes_K (X\otimes_{\Bbbk} M)$$

as follows. For any $x \in X, p \in P, m \in M$

$$\theta_{X,M}(x\otimes(p\otimes m)) = p_{(0)}\otimes \mathcal{S}^{-1}(p_{(-1)})\cdot x\otimes m.$$

Let us also define

$$\sigma_{X,V} : X\otimes_{\Bbbk} U(V) \to U(X\otimes_{\Bbbk} V)$$

as the composition

$$\sigma_{X,V}=\phi_{X\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}\big(\mathrm{id}\,_P\otimes\,\xi^{-1}_{X,V,X\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\mathrm{id}\,)\big)\,\theta_{X,T(V)}\,(\mathrm{id}\,_X\otimes\phi_V^{-1}).$$

Clearly, $\sigma_{X,V}$ and $\theta_{X,M}$ are isomorphisms.

Lemma 3.12. *For any $X,Y\in\mathrm{Rep}(H)$, $V,W\in\mathrm{Rep}(A)$, $M,N\in{}_K\mathcal{M}$ and any morphisms $f:X\to Y$, $\beta:V\to W$, $g:M\to N$*

$$\theta_{X\otimes_{\Bbbk}Y,M}=\theta_{X,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}M}(\mathrm{id}\,_X\otimes\,\theta_{X,M}), \tag{3.8}$$

$$(\mathrm{id}\,_P\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_X\otimes g)\,\theta_{X,M}=\theta_{X,N}\,(\mathrm{id}\,_X\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_P\otimes g), \tag{3.9}$$

$$(\mathrm{id}\,_P\otimes f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M)\,\theta_{X,M}=\theta_{Y,M}(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_P\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_M), \tag{3.10}$$

$$\sigma_{Y,V}(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_V)=U(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_V)\sigma_{X,V}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\sigma_{X,W}(\mathrm{id}\,_X\otimes\beta)=U(\mathrm{id}\,_X\otimes\beta)\;\sigma_{X,V}. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. Equations (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) are straightforward. By definition

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_{Y,V}(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_{U(V)})=\phi_{Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}\big(\mathrm{id}\,_P\otimes\,\xi^{-1}_{Y,V,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\mathrm{id}\,)\big)\,\theta_{Y,T(V)}\,(\mathrm{id}\,_Y\otimes\phi_V^{-1})(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_V)\\
&=\phi_{Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}\big(\mathrm{id}\,_P\otimes\,\xi^{-1}_{Y,V,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\mathrm{id}\,)\big)\,(\mathrm{id}\,_P\otimes f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_{T(V)})\theta_{X,T(V)}\,(\mathrm{id}\,_Y\otimes\phi_V^{-1})\\
&=\phi_{Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}\big(\mathrm{id}\,_P\otimes\,\xi^{-1}_{Y,V,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_V)\big)\,\theta_{X,T(V)}\,(\mathrm{id}\,_Y\otimes\,\phi_V^{-1})\\
&=\phi_{Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}\big(\mathrm{id}\,_P\otimes\,T(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_V)\xi^{-1}_{Y,V,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\mathrm{id}\,)\big)\,\theta_{X,T(V)}\,(\mathrm{id}\,_Y\otimes\,\phi_V^{-1})\\
&=U(\mathrm{id}\,_X\otimes\beta)\;\sigma_{X,V}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows by the naturality of ϕ and (3.10), the third equality follows by (2.16), the fourth by (2.14) and the fifth again by the naturality of ϕ. Equation 3.12 follows in a similar way. □

For any $X\in\mathrm{Rep}(H)$, $V\in\mathrm{Rep}(A)$ set $t_{X,V}:X\otimes_{\Bbbk}V\to X\otimes_{\Bbbk}V$ the isomorphism of A-modules defined as

$$t_{X,V}=\zeta_{X,V,X\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\sigma_{X,V}).$$

Lemma 3.13. *The maps $t_{X,V}$ are natural isomorphisms. In particular there exists an invertible element $t=t^1\otimes t^2\in H\otimes_{\Bbbk}A$ such that for any $x\in X, v\in V$, $t_{X,V}(x\otimes v)=t^1\cdot x\otimes t^2\cdot v$.*

Proof. Let $X,Y\in\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ and let $f:X\to Y$ be any morphism.

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{Y,V}\,(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_V)&=\zeta_{Y,V,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\sigma_{Y,V})\,(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_V)=\zeta_{X,V,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\sigma_{Y,V}(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_{U(V)}))\\
&=\zeta_{X,V,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(U(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_V)\,\sigma_{X,V})=(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_V)\;\zeta_{X,V,X\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\sigma_{X,V})\\
&=(f\otimes\mathrm{id}\,_V)\,t_{X,V}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from (2.16), the third by (3.11) and the fourth one by (2.14). The naturality of t in the second variable follows in an analogous way using (3.12). □

Proof of Proposition 3.11. Let $X, Y \in \operatorname{Rep}(H)$ and $V \in \operatorname{Rep}(A)$. Let

$$I_{X,Y,V}, \widetilde{I}_{X,Y,V} : (X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y) \otimes_{\Bbbk} V \to (X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y) \otimes_{\Bbbk} V$$

be the isomorphisms defined as

$$I_{X,Y,V}(x \otimes y \otimes v) = J_K^{-1} \cdot x \otimes J_K^{-2} \cdot y \otimes J_K^{-3} \cdot v,$$

$$\widetilde{I}_{X,Y,V}(x \otimes y \otimes v) = J_S^{-1} \cdot x \otimes J_S^{-2} \cdot y \otimes J_S^{-3} \cdot v,$$

for any $x \in X, y \in Y, v \in V$. In another words, the family of natural isomorphisms I and $\widetilde{I}$ are given by

$$I_{X,Y,V} = \xi_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y, V, (X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y) \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}\big(\xi^{-1}_{X, Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V, X \otimes_{\Bbbk} (Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V)}(\mathrm{id}\,)\,(\mathrm{id}\,_X \otimes \xi^{-1}_{Y,V,Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}(\mathrm{id}\,))\big)$$

and

$$\widetilde{I}_{X,Y,V} = \zeta_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y, V, (X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y) \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}\big(\zeta^{-1}_{X, Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V, X \otimes_{\Bbbk} (Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V)}(\mathrm{id}\,)\,(\mathrm{id}\,_X \otimes \zeta^{-1}_{Y,V,Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}(\mathrm{id}\,))\big).$$

We shall prove that

$$I_{X,Y,V}\ t_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y, V} = t_{X, Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}(\mathrm{id}\,_X \otimes t_{Y,V})\,\widetilde{I}_{X,Y,V}. \tag{3.13}$$

Using 2.14 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^{-1}_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y, V, (X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y) \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}\big(I_{X,Y,V}\ t_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y, V}\big) &= U(I_{X,Y,V})\zeta^{-1}_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y, V, (X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y) \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}\big(t_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y, V}\big)\\ &= U(I_{X,Y,V})\,\sigma_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y, V}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, using the naturality of ϕ and the naturality of ξ (2.14) we obtain that $U(I_{X,Y,V})\,\sigma_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y, V}$ is equal to

$$\phi_{(X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y) \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}\big(\mathrm{id}\,_P \otimes \xi^{-1}_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y, V, (X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y) \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}(T(I_{X,Y,V}))\big)\,\theta_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y, T(V)}\,(\mathrm{id}\,_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y} \otimes \phi_V^{-1}).$$

By definition of the isomorphism $I_{X,Y,V}$ and (3.8) this last expression equals to

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{(X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y) \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}\big(\mathrm{id}\,_P \otimes \xi^{-1}_{X, Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V, X \otimes_{\Bbbk} (Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V)}(\mathrm{id}\,)(\mathrm{id}\,_X \otimes \xi^{-1}_{Y,V,Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}(\mathrm{id}\,))\big)\theta_{X, Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} T(V)}&\\ (\mathrm{id}\,_X \otimes \theta_{X,T(V)})\,(\mathrm{id}\,_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y} \otimes \phi_V^{-1}),&\end{aligned}$$

and using (3.9) equals to

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{(X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y) \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}\big(\mathrm{id}\,_P \otimes \xi^{-1}_{X, Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V, X \otimes_{\Bbbk} (Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V)}(\mathrm{id}\,)\big)\theta_{X, T(Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V)}&\\ \big(\mathrm{id}\,_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} P} \otimes \xi^{-1}_{Y,V,Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}(\mathrm{id}\,)\big)(\mathrm{id}\,_X \otimes \theta_{X,T(V)})\,(\mathrm{id}\,_{X \otimes_{\Bbbk} Y} \otimes \phi_V^{-1}),&\end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$\sigma_{X, Y \otimes_{\Bbbk} V}(\mathrm{id}\,_X \otimes \sigma_{Y,V}).$$

From (2.14) follows that $\zeta^{-1}_{X\otimes_{\Bbbk}Y,V,(X\otimes_{\Bbbk}Y)\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}\big(t_{X,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes t_{Y,V})\,\widetilde{I}_{X,Y,V}\big)$ equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
&= T(t_{X,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V})\,T(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes t_{Y,V})\zeta^{-1}_{X,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V,X\otimes_{\Bbbk}(Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V)}(\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes \zeta^{-1}_{Y,V,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\mathrm{id}))\\
&= T(t_{X,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V})\,\zeta^{-1}_{X,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V,X\otimes_{\Bbbk}(Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V)}(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes t_{Y,V})(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes \zeta^{-1}_{Y,V,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\mathrm{id}))\\
&= T(t_{X,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V})\zeta^{-1}_{X,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V,X\otimes_{\Bbbk}(Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V)}(\mathrm{id})\big(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes T(t_{Y,V})\zeta^{-1}_{Y,V,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\mathrm{id})\big)\\
&= \zeta^{-1}_{X,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V,X\otimes_{\Bbbk}(Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V)}(t_{X,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V})\big(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes \zeta^{-1}_{Y,V,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(t_{Y,V})\big)\\
&= \sigma_{X,Y\otimes_{\Bbbk}V}(\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\sigma_{Y,V}).
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from (2.14), the third by (2.15) and the fourth again from (2.14). Thus, we have proven equation (3.13). Follows immediately from (3.13) that the element t^{-1} is a gauge equivalence for J_K and J_S. □

There is a reciprocate construction, that is, for any dynamical twist over A we can associate a dynamical datum as follows.

Let J be a dynamical twist for the dynamical extension ${}_A\mathcal{M}\ltimes \mathrm{Rep}(H)$. By Proposition 2.5 the category ${}_A\mathcal{M}^{(J)}$ is an exact indecomposable module category over $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$, therefore by Theorem 1.3 there exists an H-simple H-comodule algebra K such that $K^{\mathrm{co}\,H}$ and ${}_A\mathcal{M}^{(J)}\simeq {}_K\mathcal{M}$ as module categories over $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$. Let us denote by $T:{}_A\mathcal{M}^{(J)}\to {}_K\mathcal{M}$ such equivalence.

Proposition 3.14. *The pair* (K,T) *as above is a dynamical datum.*

Proof. The proof is entirely analogous to the proof of [M, Prop. 3.18]. For completeness we write the proof. Let $V,W\in\mathrm{Rep}(A)$, $X\in\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Hom}_H(X,\mathrm{Stab}_K(T(V),T(W)))\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_K(X\otimes_{\Bbbk}T(V),T(W))\simeq\\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_K(T(X\otimes_{\Bbbk}V),T(W))\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(X\otimes_{\Bbbk}V,W)\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(R(X),W\otimes_{\Bbbk}V^*)\\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(V\otimes_{\Bbbk}W^*,R(X^*))\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_H(\mathrm{Ind}_A^H(V\otimes_{\Bbbk}W^*),X^*)\simeq\\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_H(X,\mathrm{Ind}_A^H(V\otimes_{\Bbbk}W^*)^*).
\end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism is a consequence of Proposition 1.2 (3), the sixth isomorphism is Frobenius reciprocity. Thus, the statement follows from Yoneda's Lemma.

□

The construction of the dynamical datum from a dynamical twist is not canonical but it does not depend on the gauge equivalence class of the dynamical twist.

Proposition 3.15. *Let* J, J' *two gauge equivalent dynamical twists and let* (K,T) *and* (S,U) *the dynamical data associated as in Proposition 3.14. Then* (K,T) *is equivalent to* (S,U)*.*

Proof. By construction the functors $T : {}_A\mathcal{M}^{(J)} \to {}_K\mathcal{M}$ and $U : {}_A\mathcal{M}^{(J')} \to {}_S\mathcal{M}$ are equivalences of module categories over $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$. By Lema 3.5 the categories ${}_A\mathcal{M}^{(J)}$ and ${}_A\mathcal{M}^{(J')}$ are equivalent. Let $G : {}_K\mathcal{M} \to {}_A\mathcal{M}^{(J)}$ be the inverse of T. The functor $U \circ G : {}_K\mathcal{M} \to {}_S\mathcal{M}$ is an equivalence of module categories, thus Proposition 1.4 implies that there exists an object $P \in {}^H\mathcal{M}_K$ such that $S \simeq \mathrm{End}_K(P_K)$ as H-module algebras and natural isomorphisms $U(G(M)) \simeq P\otimes_K M$ for all $M \in {}_K\mathcal{M}$. In particular there are natural isomorphisms

$$U(V) \simeq U(G(T(V))) \simeq P\otimes_K T(V),$$

for all $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(A)$.

□

Remark 3.16. It would be interesting to know, for a fixed Hopf algebra H, which module categories are equivalent to ${}_A\mathcal{M}^{(J)}$ for some Hopf subalgebra A and a dynamical twist J with base A.

3.3. **Some examples.**

We shall give concrete examples of dynamical datum and explicit computations of the corresponding dynamical twist.

3.3.1. *K is an A-Galois extension.*

Let us assume that K is an A-Galois extension with trivial coinvariants. Let us denote by $\gamma : A \to K\otimes_{\Bbbk} K$ the map

$$\gamma(a) = can^{-1}(a\otimes 1) = a^{[1]}\otimes a^{[2]},$$

for all $a \in A$.

Let us assume that $T : \mathrm{Rep}(A) \to {}_K\mathcal{M}$ is a functor such that for any $V, W \in \mathrm{Rep}(A)$ there are A-module isomorphisms

$$T(W)\otimes_{\Bbbk} T(V)^* \xrightarrow{\simeq} W\otimes_{\Bbbk} V^*.$$

The A-module structure on $T(W)\otimes_{\Bbbk} T(V)^*$ is given as follows. If $w \in T(W)$, $f \in T(V)^*$ and $a \in A$, then

$$a \cdot (w\otimes f) = a^{[1]} \cdot w\otimes f \cdot a^{[2]},$$

where $(f \cdot k)(v) = f(k \cdot v)$, for any $k \in K, v \in V$. This is a well defined action, see [AM, Lemma 2.21].

Lemma 3.17. *Under the above conditions (K, T) is a dynamical datum.*

Proof. For any $V, W \in \mathrm{Rep}(A)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Stab}_K(T(V), T(W)) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(H, \mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(T(V), T(W)))\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(H, T(W)\otimes_{\Bbbk} T(V)^*) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(H, W\otimes_{\Bbbk} V^*)\\ &\simeq \left(\mathrm{Ind}\,_A^H V\otimes_{\Bbbk} W^*\right)^*.\end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism follows from (1.3), and the last is Lemma 1.1.

□

3.3.2. *Dynamical twists over* $\mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g)$.

Let G be a finite group, $g \in Z(G)$ and $\chi : G \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ a character such that $n =\mid g \mid=\mid \chi(g) \mid$ and $\chi^n = 1$.

Let us denote by $H = \mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g)$ the algebra generated by x, g subject to the relations: $x^n = 0$, $xh = \chi(h)\, hx$ for all $h \in G$. The algebra $\mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g)$ has a Hopf algebra structure as follows:

$$\Delta(x) = 1\otimes x + x\otimes g,\ \Delta(f) = f\otimes f,\ \varepsilon(x) = 0,\ \varepsilon(f) = 1,$$

for all $f \in G$.

These Hopf algebras are a special class of monomial Hopf algebras. See [CYZ].

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and let $F \subseteq G$ be a subgroup such that $g \in F$. In this case $\mathbb{A}(F,\chi,g) \subseteq \mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g)$ is a Hopf subalgebra. Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}(F,\lambda)$ the algebra generated by elements y, $e_h : h \in F$ subject to the relations

$$y^n = \lambda 1, \quad e_h e_f = e_{hf}, \quad y e_h = \chi(h)\ e_h y,$$

for all $h, f \in F$.

Lemma 3.18. *Let us denote* $\delta : \mathcal{A}(F,\lambda) \to \mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g)\otimes\mathcal{A}(F,\lambda)$*, the map given by*

$$\delta(y) = g^{-1}\otimes y - xg^{-1}\otimes 1, \ \ \delta(e_h) = h\otimes e_h,$$

for all $h \in F$*. Then* $\mathcal{A}(F,\lambda)$ *is a left* $\mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g)$*-comodule algebra with trivial coinvariants. Moreover,* $\mathcal{A}(F,\lambda)$ *is a* $\mathbb{A}(F,\chi,g)$*-Galois extension.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Let $B \subseteq F$ be a subgroup such that $B \bigcap < g >= \{1\}$. Let A be the group algebra of the group B.

Let $T : \mathrm{Rep}(A) \to {}_{\mathcal{A}(F,\lambda)}\mathcal{M}$ be the functor defined as follows. For any left A-module V, $T(V) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}v_i\otimes V$. The action of $\mathcal{A}(F,\lambda)$ on $T(V)$ is the following. For any $v \in V$, $i = 0 \dots n-1$, $h \in F$

$$y \cdot (v_i\otimes v) = \mu\ v_{i-1}\otimes v, \quad y \cdot (v_0\otimes v) = \mu\ v_{n-1}\otimes v$$

$$g \cdot (v_i\otimes v) = \chi^i(g)\ v_i\otimes v, \quad e_h \cdot (v_i\otimes v) = \chi^i(h)\ v_i\otimes h \cdot v.$$

Here $\mu^n = \lambda$ is a fixed n-th root of λ.

Proposition 3.19. *The pair* $(\mathcal{A}(F,\lambda), T)$ *is a dynamical datum for* H *over* A*.*

Proof. Let $V, W \in \mathrm{Rep}(A)$. Since $\mathcal{A}(F,\lambda)$ is $\mathbb{A}(F,\chi,g)$-Galois, using (1.3), we obtain that

$$\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathcal{A}(F,\lambda)}(T(V), T(W)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}(F,\chi,g)}(\mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g), \mathrm{Hom}(T(V), T(W)).$$

Also, by Lemma 1.1, we have that

$$\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{C}B}^{\mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g)} V\otimes W^*\right)^* \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}B}(\mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g), \mathrm{Hom}(V,W)).$$

Thus, the proof will end if we prove that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}B}(\mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g), \mathrm{Hom}(V,W))$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}(F,\chi,g)}(\mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g), \mathrm{Hom}(T(V), T(W))$.

Let $G = \bigcup_l Fc_l$, $F = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} Bg^j$ be right coset decompositions of G and F. Then the algebra $\mathbb{A}(G,\chi,g)$ has a basis consisting of elements $\{Bg^j x^i c_l\}_{j,i,l}$.

Let us define the maps

$$\phi : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}B}(H, \mathrm{Hom}(V,W)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}(F,\chi,g)}(H, \mathrm{Hom}(T(V),T(W))$$

and

$$\psi : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}(F,\chi,g)}(H, \mathrm{Hom}(T(V),T(W)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}B}(H, \mathrm{Hom}(V,W))$$

defined as follows. If $\xi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}B}(H, \mathrm{Hom}(V,W))$ then

$$\phi(\xi)(c_l)(v_k \otimes v) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} w_s \otimes \xi(b_s x^k c_l)(v),$$

$$\psi(\alpha)(g^j x^i c_l)(v) = (p_j \otimes \mathrm{id}\,)\big(\alpha(c_l)(v_i \otimes v)\big)$$

for any $v \in V$, $k = 0 \dots n-1$. Here $p_j : \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}w_i \to \mathbb{C}$, $p_j(w_i) = \delta_{ij}$.

It is immediate to verify that these two maps are well defined and they are one the inverse of each other.

□

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by CONICET, Argentina. This work was done during a post-doc fellowship at Ludwig Maximilians Universität, München granted by the Deutsche Akademische Austauschdienst (DAAD), Germany. The author thanks L. Fehér for providing some references.

References

[ABB] J. Avan, O. Babelon and E. Billey, *The Gervais-Neveu-Felder equation and the quantum Calogero-Moser systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **178** (1996) 281–299.

[AM] N. Andruskiewitsch and J.M. Mombelli, *On module categories over finite-dimensional Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **314** (2007), 383–418.

[B] O. Babelon, *Universal Exchange algebra for Bloch waves and Liouville theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **139** 1991, 619–643.

[BBB] O. Babelon, D. Bernard and E. Billey, *A Quasi-Hopf algebra interpretation of quantum 3-j and 6-j symbols and diference equations*, Phys. Lett. B **375** (1996), 89–97.

[CYZ] X.-W Chen, Y. Ye and P. Zhang, *Monomial Hopf algebras*, J.Algebra **275** (2004), no. 1, 212–232

[DM1] Donin, J. and Mudrov A., *Dynamical Yang-Baxter equation and quantum vector bundles*, Commun. Math. Phys. **254** (2005), 719–760.

[DM2] Donin, J. and Mudrov A., *Quantum groupoids and dynamical categories*, J. Algebra **296** (2006), 348–384.

[E] P. Etingof, *On the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation*, Proceedings of the ICM, Beijing 2002, vol. 2, 555–570.

[EE] B. Enriquez and P. Etingof, *Quantization of classical dyncamical r-matrices with nonabelian base*, Comm. Math. Phys. **254** (2005), 603–650.

[EN1] P. Etingof and D. Nikshych, *Dynamical twists in group algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **13** (2001), 679–701.

[EN2] P. Etingof and D. Nikshych, *Dynamical quantum groups at roots of 1*, Duke Math. J. **108** (2001), 135–168.

[EV1] P. Etingof and A. Varchenko, *Solutions of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation and dynamical quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **196** (1998), 591–640.

[EV2] P. Etingof and A. Varchenko, *Geometry and classification of solutions of the classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equation,* Comm. Math. Phys. **192** (1998), 77–120.

[EV3] P. Etingof and A. Varchenko, *Exchange dynamical quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **205** (1999), 19–52.

[EO] P. Etingof and V. Ostrik, *Finite tensor categories*, Mosc. Math. J. **4** (2004), no. 3, 627–654, 782–783.

[ES] P. Etingof and O. Schiffmann, *On the Moduli Space of Classical Dynamical r-matrices*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), 157–170.

[Fh] L. Fehér, *Dynamical r-matrices and Poisson-Lie symmetries in the chiral WZNW model*, Talk at the Workshop on Integrable Theories, Solitons and Duality, IFT Sao Paulo, July 2002; preprint `hep-th/0212006`.

[F1] G. Felder, *Elliptic Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematical Physics, Paris (1994), 211–218, International Press 1995.

[F2] G. Felder, *Conformal field theories and integrable models associated to elliptic curves*, Proc. ICM Zurich, (1994) 1247–1255.

[GN] J. L. Gervais and A. Neveu, *Novel triangle relation and abscence of tachions in Liouville string field theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **238**, 125 (1984).

[M] J.M. Mombelli, *Dynamical twists in Hopf algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2007) vol.2007.

[O1] V. Ostrik, *Module categories, Weak Hopf Algebras and Modular invariants*, Transform. Groups, 2 **8**, 177–206 (2003).

[O2] V. Ostrik, *Module categories over the Drinfeld double of a Finite Group*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2003**, no. 27, 1507–1520.

[Sch] H-J. Schneider, *Representation theory of Hopf Galois extensions*, Israel J. of Math. **72** (1990), 196–231.

[X] P. Xu, *Quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation over a nonabelian base*, Commun. Math. Phys. **226** (2002) 475–495.

[YZ] M. Yan and Y. Zhu, *Stabilizer for Hopf algebra actions*, Comm. Alg. 26 **12**, 3885–3898 (1998).

Mathematisches Institut, Universität München, Theresienstrasse 39,
D-80333 München, Germany.
E-mail address: `mombelli@mate.uncor.edu`
URL: `http://www.mate.uncor.edu/mombelli`